Bombay High Court upholds customs jurisdiction; denies enforcement of treaty-based dispute mechanism

Purple Products Pvt. Ltd. & Kothari Metals Ltd. v. Union of India & Ors. 1

The Bombay High Court dismissed writ petitions filed by importers challenging show cause notices issued under Section 28 of the Customs Act, 1962 (Customs Act). The petitioners contended that dispute resolution mechanism under Article 24 of the ASEAN-India Free Trade Agreement (AIFTA) must be adhered to and, that the Customs Department could not adjudicate issues related to origin or AIFTA content / Regional Value Content (RVC), without recourse to the treaty-based procedure.

Background of the case

- The petitioners had imported Tin Ingots from Malaysia and claimed concessional duty benefits under Customs Notification No. 46/2011, which implements the AIFTA treaty. Benefit was availed on the basis of Certificates of Origin (COO) issued by the Malaysian authorities.
- Subsequently, based on investigations by the Directorate of Revenue Intelligence (DRI), show cause notices were issued, alleging that the imports did not meet the minimum 35% RVC threshold and that the petitioners had misrepresented facts to avail the exemption. The petitioners challenged these notices by way of writ petitions, arguing that the dispute

must be resolved only through the mechanism prescribed under Article 24 of AIFTA.

Submissions by the Petitioners

- Treaty-based dispute resolution: It was contended that in terms of Article 24 of AIFTA, disputes concerning origin or RVC must be resolved between the contracting states as provided in the treaty, and that unilateral proceedings under the Customs Act were without jurisdiction.
- Supremacy of treaty provisions: The
 petitioners relied on Indian and international
 jurisprudence to contend that international
 obligations must be honoured and cannot be
 overridden by domestic authorities without
 explicit parliamentary legislation.
- Invalidity of unilateral actions: The show cause notices, it was argued, sought to nullify the COOs and deny treaty benefits, without following the dispute resolution process mandated under the ASEAN-India agreement.

Submissions by the Revenue Department

Treaty not incorporated into domestic law:
 The Customs Department contended that treaty provisions, including Article 24 of AIFTA, are not



¹ Writ Petition No. 2831 of 2018 – Bombay High Court

self-executing and cannot be enforced unless incorporated through municipal legislation.

- Jurisdiction under the Customs Act: The Customs Act provides the authorities sufficient powers to issue show cause notices in cases of misrepresentation or fraud, irrespective of any treaty provision.
- Precedent from Gujarat High Court: The Revenue Department relied on the Gujarat High Court's judgment in *Trafigura India Pvt. Ltd.*², which upheld similar notices and held that Article 24 of AIFTA does not override domestic laws unless legislatively enacted, which in this case was not done.

Discussions and Findings

framework governing the interplay between international treaties and domestic law, relying on decisions of the Supreme Court in *Agricas LLP*³, *Gramophone Co. of India*⁴, and *G.M. Exports*⁵. It reiterated India's dualist position in international law, where treaties are to be respected and implemented but, must be enacted into municipal law to be enforceable.

It was held that:

- Article 24 of AIFTA had not been transformed into Indian law through any Parliamentary statute or delegated legislation (such as the DOGPTA Rules, 2009).
- Treaty provisions, even if agreed upon internationally, do not curtail or override statutory powers under the Customs Act, unless specifically enacted.
- Section 28 of the Customs Act is wide enough to empower customs authorities to issue notices in cases involving fraud or suppression, including where preferential duty claims are involved.

 The Court declined to accept the argument that enactment of Chapter VAA in the Customs Act, effective March 2020 specifically Section 28DA concerning administration of rules of origin under trade agreement, implied lack of preexisting jurisdiction. It observed that earlier provisions were adequate to address such violations and that subsequent amendments do not retrospectively curtail the jurisdiction of customs authorities.

Decision

• The Bombay High Court dismissed the writ petitions, holding that the Customs Department has jurisdiction under Section 28 of the Customs Act to investigate and adjudicate alleged misrepresentations in claim of treaty benefits or concessions. The challenge to the show cause notices based on Article 24 of AIFTA was rejected. The adjudication, thus, of the show cause notice could continue.

Dhruva Comments

This judgment reaffirms the settled principle that international treaty provisions are not enforceable in India unless specifically incorporated into domestic legislation. This principle is also enshrined in the judgment of the Supreme Court in *Nestle SA*⁶ wherein it was held that Most Favoured Nation (MFN) clause benefits in Double Tax Avoidance Agreement aren't automatic; notification under Section 90 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 is required, to enforce lower tax rates.

Businesses availing FTA-based duty concessions must ensure compliance with origin criteria and maintain defensible documentation, particularly for third-country trade routes.

The ruling strengthens the Customs Department's position to independently verify claims under FTA exemptions and issue proceedings where prima facie misrepresentation is observed.

⁶ Civil Appeal No. 1420 OF 2023



² R/Special Civil Application No.14028/2020 & Ors.

³ 2020 (373) E.L.T. 752 (S.C.)

^{4 (1984) 2} SCC 534

⁵ (1984) 2 SCC 534

Follow us on: **f (X) (in)**







ADDRESSES

Mumbai

1101, One World Centre, 11th Floor, Tower 2B, 841, Senapati Bapat Marg, Elphinstone Road (West), Mumbai - 400 013 Tel: +91 22 6108 1000 / 1900

Ahmedabad

402, 4th Floor, Venus Atlantis, 100 Feet Road, Prahlad Nagar, Ahmedabad - 380 015 Tel: +91 79 6134 3434

Bengaluru

Lavelle Road, 67/1B, 4th Cross, Bengaluru, Karnataka - 560001 Tel: +91 90510 48715

Delhi / NCR

305-307, Emaar Capital Tower-1, MG Road, Sector 26, Gurgaon Haryana - 122 002 Tel: +91 124 668 7000

New Delhi

1007-1008, 10th Floor, Kailash Building, KG Marg, Connaught Place, New Delhi - 110001 Tel: 011 4514 3438

GIFT City

Dhruva Advisor IFSC LLP 510, 5th Floor, Pragya II, Zone-1, GIFT SEZ, GIFT City, Gandhinagar - 382050, Gujarat.

Tel: +91 7878577277

Pune

305, Pride Gateway, Near D-Mart, Baner, Pune - 411 045 Tel: +91 20 6730 1000

Kolkata

4th Floor, Unit No 403, Camac Square, 24 Camac Street, Kolkata West Bengal - 700016 Tel: +91 33 66371000

Singapore

Dhruva Advisors Pte. Ltd. #16-04, 20 Collyer Quay, Singapore - 049319 Tel: +65 9144 6415

Abu Dhabi

Dhruva Consultants 1905 Addax Tower, City of Lights, Al Reem Island, Abu Dhabi, UAE Tel: +971 26780054

Dubai

Dhruva Consultants Emaar Square Building 4, 2nd Floor, Office 207, Downtown, Dubai, UAE Tel: +971 4 240 8477

Saudi Arabia

Dhruva Consultants 308, 7775 King Fahd Rd, Al Olaya, 2970, Riyadh 12212, Saudi Arabia

KEY CONTACTS

Dinesh Kanabar

Chief Executive Officer dinesh.kanabar@dhruvaadvisors.com

Niraj Bagri

niraj.bagri@dhruvaadvisors.com

Ranjeet Mahtani

ranjeet.mahtani@dhruvaadvisors.com

Kulraj Ashpnani

kulraj.ashpnani@dhruvaadvisors.com

Dhruva Advisors has been consistently recognised as the "India Tax Firm of the Year" at the ITR Asia Tax Awards in 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021.

Dhruva Advisors has also been recognised as the "India Disputes and Litigation Firm of the Year" at the ITR Asia Tax Awards 2018 and 2020.

WTS Dhruva Consultants has been recognised as the "Best Newcomer Firm of the Year" at the ITR European Tax Awards 2020.

Dhruva Advisors has been recognised as the "Best Newcomer Firm of the Year" at the ITR Asia Tax Awards 2016.

Dhruva Advisors has been consistently recognised as a Tier 1 Firm in India for General Corporate Tax by the International Tax Review's in its World Tax Guide.

Dhruva Advisors has been consistently recognised as a Tier 1 Firm in India for **Indirect Taxes** in International Tax Review's Indirect Tax Guide.

Dhruva Advisors has also been consistently recognised as a Tier 1 Firm in India for its Transfer Pricing practice ranking table in ITR's World Transfer Pricing guide.

The information contained herein is in summary form and is therefore intended for general guidance only. This publication is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation. This publication is not a substitute for detailed research and professional opinions. Before acting on any matters contained herein, reference should be made to subject matter experts, and professional judgment needs to be exercised. Dhruva Advisors LLP cannot accept any responsibility for loss occasioned to any person acting or refraining from acting as a result of any material contained in this publication

